LAR-IAC2 PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION FORM   PIRF No: L2-3

| City/Agency	Cerritos	Date PIRF received	**3/26/09**
Contact	Risa Wu	Date Resolved	**3/26/09**
Phone	562-916-1238	LAR-IAC2 Contact	Regional Planning
Fax	562-916-1371	Phone	(213) 893-0881
E-mail	Risa_wu@ci.cerritos.ca.us	Fax	(213) 680-1635
Date Sent	3/26/09	E-mail	gis@planning.lacounty.gov

Data format (geotiff, jpeg2000, MrSID, ECW, SDE export or file geodatabase):	1. Geotiff 2. geodatabase
Error type:	1. Missing file 2. Offsets between images and parcel boundaries.
File name(s):	Geotiff file: 6545-1765b
City / Community:	Cerritos
APN / TB page / name of attached shapefile:	

| Describe Problem or Map anomaly in detail. |
|---|
| 1. One geotiff file is missing. Please check below screenshot for its location.
2. We noticed around 5-10 feet offsets between images and parcel boundaries. |

PASTE SCREENSHOTS HERE:

1. Missing file location

2. Offsets between images and parcel boundaries.

LA County's response to the Problem:

1. The correct name of the geotiff file is 7645_17**62b**. We found a number of tiles that were incorrectly named, and alerted Dewberry and they fixed the tile grid and the tile names. This should have been done before your data was sent to you, so the change should have been reflected in the data you received, but evidently not. You can get the updated grid from our LAR-IAC website in the 'Shapefiles' section under "Ortho Imagery Grid" (http://planning.lacounty.gov/lariac/download.htm). Also attached is a script you can run that will rename the actual files. Below is an excerpt from an email from Dewberry telling how to use this script: Hi Nick,

 I have attached a txt file that contains a DOS rename batch to correct the tile names for participant who have already received deliverables. All they will have to do is copy the txt file into the folders with imagery (there will be 4 folders with the imagery named incorrectly – 4" Geotiff, 4" JPEG2000, 1' Geotiff, 1' JPEG2000) rename the file from .txt to .bat. and double click to run. Any files that need to be renamed will be. It's quick and simple.

2. For the parcel shift issue – it looks like the imagery is okay, it's just the Assessor's parcel data that's off.

In comparing the Assessor parcels with Public Works' parcels, I found that Public Works' data lines up better with the imagery. This is an issue for the Assessor to fix, so please contact Emilio Solano and inform him of this problem, as it looks like a systematic shift. In the future, please provide an APN number for concerns about the imagery.